

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

VISION FILMS, INC.,

Plaintiff,
vs.

JOHN DOES 1-38,

Case No. 2:13-cv-855
Judge Graham
Magistrate Judge King

Defendants.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On December 31, this Court granted plaintiff's motion for extension of time until April 30, 2014, in which to demonstrate effective service of process. *Order*, Doc. No. 6. The Court specifically warned that there would be no extension of this date and that the claims against any defendant not served with process by this date would be dismissed. *Id.*

To date, the record does not reflect that service of process has been completed. Accordingly, it is **RECOMMENDED** that the claims asserted in this case be dismissed for failure to timely effect service of process. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

If any party seeks review by the District Judge of this *Report and Recommendation*, that party may, within fourteen (14) days, file and serve on all parties objections to the *Report and Recommendation*, specifically designating this *Report and Recommendation*, and the part thereof in question, as well as the basis for objection thereto. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Response to objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy

thereof. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The parties are specifically advised that failure to object to the *Report and Recommendation* will result in a waiver of the right to *de novo* review by the District Judge and of the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the *Report and Recommendation*. See *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Smith v. Detroit Fed'n of Teachers, Local 231 etc.*, 829 F.2d 1370 (6th Cir. 1987); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

May 1, 2014

s/Norah McCann King
Norah McCann King
United States Magistrate Judge